NEW DECISION ITEM

				RANK:	6	OF	23				
Department of	Higher Education										
	ır-year Colleges ar	nd Universitie	<u> </u>								
	Item - University of			ntal Health		DI Number	1555018				
1. AMOUNT O	F REQUEST										
	FY	2007 Budget	Request				FY 2007 (Governor's I	Recommend	ation	
	GR	Federal	Other	Total			GR	Fed	Other	Total	
PS	0	0	0	0	•	PS	0	0	0	0	
EE	0	0	0	0		EE	0	0	0	0	
PSD	97,514	0	0	97,514		PSD	0	0	0	0	
Total	97,514	0	0	97,514		Total	0	0	0	0	
FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		FTE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0		Est. Fringe	0	0	0	0	
Note: Fringes l	oudgeted in House E	Bill 5 except fo	r certain fringe	es		Note: Fringes	budgeted in Ho	ouse Bill 5 ex	cept for certa	in fringes	
budgeted direct	ly to MoDOT, Highw	ay Patrol, and	l Conservation	٦.		budgeted direc	tly to MoDOT, i	Highway Pat	rol, and Cons	ervation.	
Other Funds:						Other Funds:					
2. THIS REQUE	ST CAN BE CATE	GORIZED AS	<u>.</u>								
	New Legislation				New Progra	am		S	upplemental		
	Federal Mandate		_	Х		Program Expansion Cost to Continu			ue		
	GR Pick-Up		·		Space Request			Equipment Replacement			
Pay Plan Other:											
The MIMH con	S FUNDING NEEDS NAL AUTHORIZAT tinues to incur highe 3 percent. There a	r costs to deliv	S PROGRAM. ver its services	s to the pub	lic. One exa	ample is significar	nt increases in	the cost of st	aff benefits, v	which are an	ticipated to
	es the ability of the N										

N	J	F١	۸	/	ח	F	C	IS.	ıc	16	J	IT	ΈN
	ч	_	7.	_	_	_	v	·	·	,,	4		-1

RANK:	6	OF	23

Department of Higher Education

Division of Four-year Colleges and Universities

New Decision Item - University of Missouri - Institute of Mental Health DI Number 1555018

4. DESCRIBE THE DETAILED ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DERIVE THE SPECIFIC REQUESTED AMOUNT. (How did you determine that the requested number of FTE were appropriate? From what source or standard did you derive the requested levels of funding? Were alternatives such as outsourcing or automation considered? If based on new legislation, does request tie to TAFP fiscal note? If not, explain why. Detail which portions of the request are one-times and how those amounts were calculated.)

The MIMH will require funds to offset the effects of increased costs of operation.

5. BREAK DOWN THE REQUEST BY BUDGET OBJECT CLASS, JOB CLASS, AND FUND SOURCE. IDENTIFY ONE-TIME COSTS.

Budget Object Class Job C	Dept Re lass GR	eq	Dept Req FED	Dept Req OTHER	Dept Req TOTAL	Dept Req One-Times	Gov Rec GR	Gov Rec FED	Gov Rec OTHER	Gov Rec TOTAL	Gov Rec One-Times
Salaries/Wages					0					0	
Total PS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total FTE					0.0					0.0	
					0					0	
					0					0	
					0					0	
					0					0	
Total EE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Program Distributions	97,	514			97,514		0			0	
Total PSD	97,	514	0	0	97,514	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Total	97,	514	0	0	97,514	0	0	0	0	0	0

NEW	DEC	SION	ITEM
14 - 44		SICIA	

OF

23

Department of Higher Education			
Division of Four-year Colleges and Universities			
New Decision Item - University of Missouri - Institute of Mental Health	DI Number	1555018	

6. PERFORMANCE MEASURES (If new decision item has an associated core, separately identify projected performance with & without additional funding.)

6a. Provide an effectiveness measure.

One important measure of effectiveness is the total number of new dollars MIMH brings to the University, the Department of Mental Health, and the state of Missouri each year. MIMH faculty wrote grants or provided technical assistance for state agencies and/or community groups in Missouri. Grants/contracts are normally awarded cyclically and multi-annually.

RANK:

<u>FY03</u>	<u>FY04</u>	<u>FY05</u>	FY06 Planned	FY07 Projected	FY08 Projected
not tracked	\$23,000,000	\$38,984,314	\$32,000,000	\$33,500,000	\$34,000,000

6b. Provide an efficiency measure.

One measure of efficiency is the total number of dollars awarded through grants and/or contracts to the Institute relative to the total number of state dollars provided each year by the taxpayers of Missouri. The table below indicates the number of dollars awarded to the Institute for each state dollar provided to the Institute.

<u>FY03</u>	<u>FY04</u>	<u>FY05</u>	FY06 Planned	FY07 Projected	FY08 Projected
\$1.959	\$1.864	\$2.560	\$2,750	\$3,000	\$3.250

6c. Provide the number of clients/individuals served, if applicable.

The Institute is not a patient service organization. It does, however provide continuing professional education to all levels of Mental Health professionals. The table below contains a count of the Mental Health professionals that receive training conducted by the Institute each year.

<u>FY03</u>	<u>FY04</u>	<u>FY05</u>	FY06 Planned	FY07 Projected	FY08 Projected
3,461	3,138	1,730	2,750	3,600	4,000

6d. Provide a customer satisfaction measure, if available.

We collect detailed evaluations on all speakers who participate in our continuing education activities. The satisfaction of agencies who seek us out for technical assistance with grant applications is evident in the high return rate for these groups (and the high number of successful grants written at the Institute). One measure of the satisfaction of the academic community with the work of the Institute is found in the number of articles accepted in peer reviewed scholarly journals (MIMH faculty have published more than 1,000 scholarly papers since the Institute was founded).